

Comments/feedback on the “Activities in Line with Expansion of Scope of EANET”

We (DMH) agree the proposal on “Activities in Line with Expansion of Scope of EANET” which expanded activities (both current and expanded scope) related to air pollution as air pollution is a major threat to our health and environmental impact as well as climate.

Based on the information collected from the relevant departments: refer to: “**Questionnaire: Review of Efforts for Acid Deposition/Air Pollution in the Participating Countries of EANET**”, we, Myanmar, has still limitation and challenges on data availability especially based line data for national air quality standard, ground based monitoring stations, QA/QC activities, capacity building and technical assistant, environmental pollution and climate change, financial assistance, public participation, policy/regulations and law enforcement. Thus, the proposed EANET activities (existing and new activities) will fill our requirement to implement sustainable management of good air quality in our region through EANET activities.

Regarding the EANET target substances (**EANET/WG2021-1/5/2: Table 1**), not all the countries are monitoring all substances, for example, Myanmar is monitoring only PM2.5 and precipitation chemistry (ions, pH, and EC). Therefore, it would be great to consider all participating countries should have monitoring activities for all substances in order to have base line information in the region. Moreover, some of the possible future activities are (eg. Mercury) monitoring from other countries by cooperation with air-related organization (eg. Asia-Pacific Mercury Monitoring Network - APMMN) beside EANET. In that case, we can request to share the data and information among participating countries to support EANET.

For the Non-Core Activities, refer to **EANET/WG2021-1/5/2, Page 7, No.13, Line no. 4**, describes *28 proposed Non-Core Activities*. However, **Page 8, No.14, Line no. 1**, indicate *27 Non-Core Activities*. In that case, could you please clarify the correct number of activities (27 or 28)?

In addition, we would like to suggest increasing monitoring activities, sharing the data and information, provide capacity building and technical assistant, knowledge exchange for research activities, etc., especially for the Impact Assessment and policy/regulations in order to get successful implementation of Non-core activities both current and expanded scope of EANET.